

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/577,886	04/28/2006	Bahaa Botros Seedhom	7881.24	2243	
21999 KIRTON AND	7590 10/29/200 MCCONKIE	7	EXAMINER		
60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE,			STEWART, JASON-DENNIS NEILKEN		
SUITE 1800 SALT LAKE C	ITY, UT 84111		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		4138		
	1	•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		•	10/29/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/577,886	SEEDHOM ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jason-Dennis Stewart	4138	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard part of the months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONThatute, cause the application to become ABAI	ATION. ly be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2. 2a)□ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ 1 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice under	This action is non-final. wance except for formal matter	• •	,
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are witho 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 April 2006</u> is/are:	, , , , ,		
Applicant may not request that any objection to	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	,	• , ,	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apportionity documents have been receau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		nmary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>22 November 2006</u>. 		Mail Date rmal Patent Application .	

Application/Control Number: 10/577,886

Art Unit: 4138

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 9 recites the limitation "looped ends" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 9,10, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schwartz et al. 6,468,314.
- 5. Re Claim 1, Schwartz teaches a pad 16 of bio-compatible material, elongate connecting portions 14 connected to the periphery of the pad and connecting portions 28 extending away from the general plane of the pad, also a retaining element 20 slideable depth wise of the groove.
- 6. Re Claim 2, Schwartz teaches a pad seeded with cartilage forming cells (col. 4, ll. 34-36).

Application/Control Number: 10/577,886 Page 3

Art Unit: 4138

7. Re Claim 3, Schwartz teaches flexible elements 14 taken through the pad and can extend generally perpendicular to the pad (fig. 2). Schwartz also teaches spaces to allow for tissue in-growth (fig. 3).

- 8. Re Claim 9, Schwartz teaches a retaining element 20 that comprises a ring (fig.1).
- 9. Re Claim 10, Schwartz teaches a pad 16 that is hexagonally shaped (col. 9, II. 18-19).
- 10. Re Claim 21, Schwartz teaches a system for regenerating damaged or destroyed articular cartilage (abstract).
- 11. Claims 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Seedhom et al. 2003/0135209.
- 12. Re Claim 18, Seedhom teaches a method of repair or damaged tissue comprising forming a narrow groove into the bone, replacing damaged tissue with a biocompatible material and anchoring the material by a retaining means (abstract).
- 13. Re Claim 19, Seedhom teaches a reaming device (paragraph 27).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

14. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 4138

- 15. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schwartz et al. 6,468,314 i.v., Goulet et al. 2007/0005138.
- 16. Re Claim 4, Schwartz teaches the invention as claimed and as discussed above. However, Schwartz does not teach the following claimed limitation: a single filament extending in loops.

Goulet teaches a filament 2 attached by loops to pad 1 (Fig. 3).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Schwartz i.v., Goulet in order to provide an implant for connective tissue substitution as taught by Goulet (paragraph 13).

17. Re Claim 5, Schwartz teaches the invention as claimed and as discussed above. However, Schwartz does not teach the following claimed limitation: the retaining element pre-attached to the ends of the loops.

Goulet teaches a bone block retaining element 1 attached to the loops of a filament 1 (paragraph 79).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Schwartz i.v., Goulet in order to provide an implant for connective tissue substitution as taught by Goulet (paragraph 13).

18. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schwartz et al. 6,468,314 i.v., Goulet et al. 2007/0005138 further i.v., Seedhom et al. 2003/0135209. Schwartz i.v., Goulet teaches the invention as claimed and as discussed above. However, Schwartz i.v., Goulet does not teach the following claimed limitation: and introducer tool being forced into the groove.

Seedhom teaches an introducer tool 37 capable of producing downward force into groove (paragraph 105).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Schwartz i.v., Goulet further i.v., Seedhom in order to repair damaged tissue present at or on the surface of bone in an animal as taught by Seedhom (abstract).

- 19. Claims 7,8,11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schwartz et al. i.v., Seedhom et al. 2003/0135205.
- 20. Re Claim 7, Schwartz teaches the invention as claimed and as discussed above. However, Schwartz does not teach the following claimed limitation: a retaining element having a preformed shape having the shape of the groove.

Seedhom teaches retaining element 39 formed to fit groove 25 (fig. 6).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Schwartz i.v., Seedhom in order to repair damaged tissue present at or on the surface of bone in an animal as taught by Seedhom (abstract).

21. Re Claim 8, Schwartz teaches the invention as claimed and as discussed above. However, Schwartz does not teach the following claimed limitation: a deformable retaining element.

Seedhom teaches a deformable retaining element (paragraph 106).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Schwartz i.v., Seedhom in order to repair damaged tissue present at or on the surface of bone in an animal as taught by Seedhom (abstract).

Art Unit: 4138

22. Re Claim 11, Schwartz teaches the invention as claimed and as discussed above. However, Schwartz does not teach the following claimed limitation: a pre-assembled implant delivery device.

Seedhom teaches a pre-assembled implant delivery device ready for use by a surgeon (paragraph 106).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Schwartz i.v., Seedhom in order to repair damaged tissue present at or on the surface of bone in an animal as taught by Seedhom (abstract).

- 23. Claims 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schwartz et al. i.v., Seedhom et al. 2003/0135205 further i.v., Schmieding 7,264,634.
- 24. Re Claim 12, Schwartz i.v., Seedhom teaches the invention as claimed and as discussed above. However, Schwartz i.v., Seedhom does not teach the following claimed limitation: a hollow delivery device to introduce pad and retaining element into groove.

Schmieding teaches a hollow delivery device 24 (fig. 8).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Schwartz i.v., Seedhom further i.v., Schmieding in order to repair damaged articular joint surfaces as taught by Schmieding (abstract).

- 25. Re Claim 13, Schwartz i.v., Seedhom teaches connecting portions on the outer surface of delivery device (Seedhom, fig. 13).
- 26. Re Claim 14, Schwartz i.v., Seedhom teaches connecting portions retained by a releasable holding arrangement (Seedhom, paragraph 106).

Art Unit: 4138

27. Re Claim 15, Schwartz i.v., Seedhom teaches a tubular band (Seedhom, paragraph 106).

28. Re Claim 16, Schwartz i.v., Seedhom teaches the invention as claimed and as discussed above. However, Schwartz i.v., Seedhom does not teach the following claimed limitation: a removable tool handle.

Schmieding teaches a removable tool handle (paragraph 33).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Schwartz i.v., Seedhom further i.v., Schmieding in order to repair damaged articular joint surfaces as taught by Schmieding (abstract).

29. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schwartz et al. i.v., Seedhom et al. 2003/0135205 i.v., Schmieding 7,264,634 further i.v., Johanson et al. 2002/0042624. Schwartz i.v., Seedhom i.v., Schmieding teaches the invention as claimed and as discussed above. However, Schwartz i.v., Seedhom i.v., Schmieding does not teach following claimed limitation: a bearing coupling handle and delivery device.

Johanson teaches a bearing between the tool handle and delivery device (paragraph 54).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Schwartz i.v., Seedhom i.v., Schmieding further i.v., Johnson in order to transplant a bone plug from a donor site to a recipient site as taught by Johanson (abstract).

Application/Control Number: 10/577,886

Art Unit: 4138

Page 8

30. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seedhom et al. 2003/0135209. Seedhom teaches that the depth of a groove should be a multiple of the thickness of the tissue which is replaced. However, Seedhom does positively recite the range of "at least five times that of the thickness of tissue". However, it has been held that "where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation." *In re Aller*, see MPEP 2144.05, section II, part A.

Conclusion

31. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure, as per the Notice of References Cited.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason-Dennis Stewart whose telephone number is (571)270-3080. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (alt Fridays off) 7:30-5:00 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ehud Gartenberg can be reached on (571)272-4828. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/577,886

Art Unit: 4138

Page 9

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

IC

KIMBERLY S. SMITH
PRIMARY EXAMINER

10/27/07